Copier MOU Version 2.0

Outstanding Issues to Complete Prior to Issue of Version 2.0

- Proposal issued to copier Partners
 - > Alternate specification
 - > Comparison of total energy consumption
 - > Based on self-certified ASTM data



Alternate Specification

- > Manufacturers can continue to use current ENERGY STAR criteria
- > Alternative offers design flexibility
- Alternative allows specification to adapt to new technologies
- Comparison of ASTM data to ENERGY STAR qualified copiers



Rationale

- > Greatest barriers to customer acceptance of ENERGY STAR copiers:
 - Copier shut-off during work day
 - Long recovery time from low-power modes
- > Greatest use of copier energy:
 - Standby energy consumption during the day, overnight



- Rationale
 - > Specification amendments are time-consuming
 - > Internationalization of program will lengthen review periods
- Flexible alternative can reward innovation by:
 - > Meeting customer needs
 - Saving more energy
 - > Reducing administrative burden



- The ENERGY STAR copier program must:
 - > Reward energy efficiency
 - Encourage high performance, customer satisfaction
 - > Evolve with technology
- Response needed from Partners to move forward with imaging programs



New Copier Issues

- Duplicators and Copier MOU?
 - > Devices do not use fusing technology
 - > Inherently energy-efficient
 - Schools, non-profits use duplicators, but increasingly seek ENERGY STAR labeled equipment
- Duplicator Options:
 - > Allow duplicators to qualify under copier MOU
 - Letter from EPA noting inherent energy efficiency

New Copier Issues

Color Copiers

- Market for color is growing (according to Dataquest, 5% of total placements by 2001)
- Use patterns, energy consumption differ from monochrome
- > Future of copier program may involve a specification for color copiers

